Page 1 of 5

# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal,

& The Hon'ble Dr. Subesh Kumar Das.

### Case No -<u>OA-121 of 2019.</u>

Amar Garain. –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others.				
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature		
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary		
1		3		
2	For the Applicant : Mrs. Sonali Mitra,			
25.03.2019.	Advocate.			
	For the Respondents : Mr. Ruhul Amin Chowdhury, Advocate.			
	For the Principal Accountant : Mr. Biswanath Mitra, General (A&E), West Bengal. Departmental Representative.			
	Affidavit of service filed today be kept on			
	record.			
	In this application the applicant has prayed for			
	certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as			
	under :			
	" (a) Pass an order directing the			
	respondents to release the			
	pension with effect from			
	1.4.2018 by condoning the			
	shortfall of service considering			
	the solemn order passed by the			
	Hon'ble High Court as well as			
	the Hon'ble Supreme Court			
	within a stipulated period of			
	time"			
	It appears that the applicant pursuant to the			
	memo dated 28th May, 2010 had joined as Group-D			
	staff on 3 <sup>rd</sup> June, 2010 before the Sub-Divisional Officer			

Amar Garain.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA-121 of 2019.</u>				
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date		
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary		
1		3		
	in the Purulia Irrigation Division. After rendering			
	service for about seven years ten months, he had			
	superannuated on 31 <sup>st</sup> March, 2018. It is submitted by			
	Mrs. Sonali Mitra, learned advocate for the applicant			
	that since the shortfall to qualify for pension is about			
	two years two months and as the applicant has			
	rendered uninterrupted service for about two years			
	two months prior to his joining on 3rd June, 2010,			
	appropriate order may be passed directing grant of			
	pension. In this regard our attention has been drawn to			
	the list of casual and such other workers appearing at			
	pages 21E to 21J of the application. Mrs. Mitra, has			
	relied on the judgment of the High Court passed in			
	WPST 379 of 2013 -Anantalal Mahata -v State of			
	West Bengal & Ors. in support of her submission.			
	Mr. Ruhul Amin Chowdhury, learned advocate			
	appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that as			
	the applicant has been unable to show he had served			
	for a period of two years two months continuously			
	prior to being conferred with the permanent status and			
	that he did not serve continuously for the said period			
	which is evident from the information sought by the			
	applicant himself pursuant to the application under			

Case No. <u>OA-121 of 2019.</u>

Amar Garain.

## .... Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessar
1		3
	the Right to Information Act, 2005, no order may be	
	passed.	
	Mr. Biswanath Mitra, the Departmental	
	Representative for the Principal Accountant General	
	(A & E), West Bengal adopts the submission of Mr.	
	Chowdhury.	
	Admittedly, the applicant was appointed on 3 <sup>rd</sup>	
	June, 2010 and had retired on 31st March, 2018.	
	Therefore, he has rendered service for about seven	
	years ten months. In order to qualify pension one has	
	to render service for a minimum period of ten years.	
	Under Rule 36 of the DCRB Rules the State	
	Government has been given the power to relax the	
	shortfall up to six months. In this regard it is	
	appropriate to refer to the relevant portion of the	
	judgment passed in Anantalal Mahata (Supra) wherein	
	it has been held as under :	
	"We have held that	
	under the DCRB Rules, the	
	service rendered by an employee	
	on a temporary basis	
	continuously, prior to being	
	conferred with the permanent	
	status must be taken into	

Amar Garain.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Order of the Tribunal with signature 2 consideration for computing the qualifying service for payment of pension. For the reasons stated in the aforesaid judgements these	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
consideration for computing the qualifying service for payment of pension. For the reasons stated in	of parties when necessary
qualifying service for payment of pension. For the reasons stated in	
qualifying service for payment of pension. For the reasons stated in	
pension. For the reasons stated in	
the aforesaid judgements these	
petitions are also allowed.	
However, where the	
Petitioners have not rendered	
qualifying service of ten years	
even after reckoning the period of	
service rendered by them as	
temporary employees, they would	
not be entitled to pension as a	
matter of right. In such cases the	
Government will apply Rule 36	
of the DCRB Rules under which	
it is vested with the power to	
condone the deficiency in the	
qualifying service up to six	
months. An employee may also	
apply to the Governor of the State	
of West Bengal for the relaxation	
of the Rules under Rule 4 of the	
DCRB Rules"	
ind from the information provided to the	
	petitions are also allowed. However, where the Petitioners have not rendered qualifying service of ten years even after reckoning the period of service rendered by them as temporary employees, they would not be entitled to pension as a matter of right. In such cases the Government will apply Rule 36 of the DCRB Rules under which it is vested with the power to condone the deficiency in the qualifying service up to six months. An employee may also apply to the Governor of the State of West Bengal for the relaxation of the Rules under Rule 4 of the

### Case No. OA-121 of 2019.

Amar Garain.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. OA-121 d	o <u>f 2019.</u>	
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	applicant under RTI Act, particularly the annexure at	
	page 21B of the application, that in the preceding two	
	years two months prior to his joining as a permanent	
	staff, that is from April, 2008 to May, 2010, the	
	applicant did not render service on a temporary basis	
	"continuously". In some of the months in 2008 he did	
	not render service at all and has been marked "NIL"	
	and in other months he had worked for twenty days.	
	Therefore, the service of the applicant in the two	
	years two months prior to regularisation cannot be	
	treated as continuous and without a break. Hence, the	
	application fails and is dismissed.	
	(DR. SUBESH KUMAR DAS) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
Sourav		